# 2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

# **DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

**PROVIDER NAME:** A+ Grades Up

DISTRICTS SERVED: Ft. Wayne Com. Schools, Alexandria Com. Sch. Corp., MSD Decatur

Twp., MSD Lawrence Twp., MSD Perry Twp., Southwest Sch. Corp.

# OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP: 218 (English/Language Arts) 10 (Math)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: B-

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: B

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: B+

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

## **CUSTOMER SATISFACTION**

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 16%

Overall score: 3.7 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100%

District recommends continuation?: 83% of districts recommended continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 44%

Overall Score: 2.1 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: B-

# **SERVICE DELIVERY**

PARENT REPORT	
% of parents reporting:	16%
Overall score:	3.6 out of 4.0
DISTRICT REPORT:	
% of districts reporting:	100%
Overall score:	50% (77/154 possible points)
PRINCIPAL REPORT:	
% of principals reporting:	44%
Overall score:	2.7 out of 4.0
ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	3.7 out of 4.0
Go to ( <a href="http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonite">http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonite</a> Onsite Monitoring Report from 2006-2007	oroot//A+%20Grades%20Up_000/.pdi) to view the
SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	В
ACADEMIC EFFI	ECTIVENESS
COMPLETION RATE:	81%
% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS (of those attending 80% or more sessions):	56.1%
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	CAT/5
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS	91%
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at least one session)	87.6%

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

### **ISTEP+ DATA** (included in academic effectiveness grade):

#### SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by A+ Grades Up in 2006-2007, 78% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts and 83% made gains for Math, exceeding the statewide average for all SES students in both subjects. 51% of students served by A+ Grades Up showed substantial (one year's) growth in E/LA, and 83% showed substantial (one year's) growth in Math (again exceeding the statewide average for all SES students in both subjects). The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ increased from 33% to 43% for English/Language Arts, and from 17% to 50% in Math.

Category	A+ Grades Up (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	A+ Grades Up (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
	· · ·	, ,	,	
# of students	72	1675	6	1645
% showing growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score	78%	71%	83%	73%
% showing substantial				
(one year's) growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score**	51%	49%	83%	49%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2006)	33%	43%	17%	52%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2007)	43%	42%	50%	51%

<sup>\*</sup>Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

### SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For A+ Grades Up, 5 matches out of 6 participating students (83%) were made for Math, and 52 matches out of 72 participating students (72%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			100%	100%	50.2	60%
Not SES	5	83%	60%	60%	5.8	20%

<sup>\*\*</sup>Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

As shown in the chart above, 100% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, and 100% showed substantial (one year's) growth. Comparatively, 60% of the similar but non-participating students showed any growth and substantial growth. More SES students (60%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than non-SES students (20%). However, in examining these differences, it is important to note that the number of students included in the analysis is small (5).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			79%	50%	21.2	50%
Not SES	52	72%	75%	54%	28.3	48%

As shown in the chart above, 79% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to slightly fewer (75%) of the similar, non-participating students. However, 54% of the non-participating students showed substantial (one year's growth) on ISTEP+ English/Language Arts scale score, compared to slightly fewer (50%) of the SES students. Slightly more SES students (50%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than similar non-SES students.

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages). Additional statistical analyses, including results disaggregated by district and grade level, will be conducted in the statewide evaluation of SES 2006-2007, to be released by the fall of 2008.

**ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:** 

 $\mathbf{B}$ +

**OVERALL GRADE:** B